ISSN 2700-8622

EURASIAN EDUCATION, SCIENCE AND INNOVATION Journal

Volume 24, 2026

Published Aachen

ISSN 2700-8622

Eurasian Education, Science and Innovation Journal

Volume 24, 2026

The multidisciplinary journal publishes all areas of science

Published by Eurasian Consulting Corporation
http://www.euco.kz

OPEN ACCESS

This is an online real-time journal.
Articles are published on a rolling basis immediately after acceptance, forming the issue dynamically.

Journal Policy

Publication Policy

Eurasian Education, Science and Innovation Journal is an international peer-reviewed open access journal that publishes original research articles in all fields of science, engineering, and education.
The journal follows a continuous (rolling) publication model, where articles are published online immediately after acceptance and editorial processing, without waiting for the full issue compilation.

Peer Review Policy

All submitted manuscripts undergo a double-blind peer review process, where both reviewers and authors remain anonymous.
Each manuscript is evaluated based on:
scientific relevance and originality
methodological soundness
clarity of presentation
contribution to the field
The editorial board reserves the right to accept, request revisions, or reject submissions.

Ethics and Publication Malpractice

The journal adheres to international standards of publication ethics.
Authors must:
submit only original work
properly cite all sources
avoid plagiarism and data falsification

Any form of plagiarism, duplicate submission, or unethical behavior is strictly prohibited and will result in rejection or retraction.

Open Access Policy

All articles are published under an open access model, meaning they are freely available to readers without subscription.

Users are allowed to read, download, and share articles for non-commercial purposes, provided proper citation is given.

Copyright Policy

Authors retain copyright of their work while granting the journal the right to publish and distribute the article.

Editorial Responsibility

The editorial board ensures:
fair and unbiased review process
confidentiality of submissions
timely publication of accepted papers

Requirements for Authors

Authors submitting manuscripts to the journal must ensure that their work presents reliable and accurate results, as well as an objective evaluation of the significance of the research. The data underlying the study should be clearly described, free from errors, and sufficient to allow reproducibility.

The manuscript must contain adequate methodological details and appropriate bibliographic references. Any false or knowingly inaccurate statements are considered unethical and unacceptable.

Authors are required to submit original work only. If the work includes results, ideas, or statements from other authors, proper citation and referencing must be provided. Plagiarism in all its forms — including presenting another person's work as one's own, copying or paraphrasing without attribution, or claiming ownership of others' results — is strictly prohibited and constitutes unethical behavior. Full responsibility for plagiarism lies with the authors.

In the case that significant errors or inaccuracies are identified in a published work, the author must promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate in correcting or retracting the article. If the editor or publisher receives information from a third party regarding serious errors, the author is obligated to take immediate action to correct or withdraw the publication.

The manuscript authors must provide reliable results of the work done, as well as an objective judgment on the significance of the study. The data underlying the work should be presented accurately, without errors. The work should contain enough details and bibliographic references for possible reproduction. False or knowingly erroneous statements are perceived as unethical behavior and unacceptable.

Authors should make sure that the original work is submitted and, if other authors' works or claims are used, provide appropriate bibliographic references or citations. Plagiarism can exist in many forms - from representing someone else's work as copyright to copying or paraphrasing significant parts of another's work without attribution, as well as claiming one's rights to the results of another's research. Plagiarism in all forms constitutes unethical acts and is unacceptable. Responsibility for plagiarism is entirely on the shoulders of the authors.

Significant errors in published works. If the author detects significant errors or inaccuracies in the publication, the author must inform the editor of the journal or the publisher about this and interact with them in order to remove the publication as soon as possible or correct errors. If the editor or publisher has received information from a third party that the publication contains significant errors, the author must withdraw the work or correct the errors as soon as possible.

CONTENT

MODERN TEACHING METHODOLOGIES IN TECHNICAL COLLEGES: PRACTICE-ORIENTED AND DIGITAL APPROACHES

Zaurbekov M.N., Serikbay K.E., Sultanbek A.T., Mutalieva A.K., Mamykova A.D.

M. Utebayev Higher College of New Technologies, Shymkent, Kazakhstan

Abstract: This article examines modern teaching methodologies applied in technical colleges, focusing on practice-oriented learning and digital technologies. The study highlights the importance of integrating theoretical knowledge with practical skills to prepare students for real-world professional activities. Special attention is given to interactive teaching methods, project-based learning, and the use of digital tools in the educational process. The results demonstrate that the implementation of innovative approaches significantly improves students' engagement and learning outcomes.

Keywords: *teaching methodology, technical education, college, digital learning, practice-oriented education, project-based learning*

Introduction

Modern education is undergoing rapid transformation driven by technological progress, globalization, and the changing requirements of the labor market. In this context, technical and vocational education institutions play a crucial role in preparing qualified specialists capable of adapting to dynamic industrial environments.

The traditional model of education, which is mainly based on the transfer of theoretical knowledge through lectures, is no longer sufficient to meet the needs of modern society. Employers increasingly require graduates who possess not only theoretical understanding but also practical skills, critical thinking, and the ability to solve real-world problems. This creates a need for new teaching methodologies that focus on competency-based education and practical training.

Technical colleges, such as M. Utebayev Higher College of New Technologies, are at the forefront of this transformation. They serve as a bridge between education and industry, ensuring that students acquire professional skills relevant to current technological and economic conditions. Therefore, the effectiveness of teaching methodologies directly influences the quality of training and employability of graduates.

One of the key directions in modern education is the integration of practice-oriented learning. This approach emphasizes the application of theoretical knowledge in real or simulated professional situations. It includes laboratory work, internships, project activities, and collaboration with industry partners. Such methods help students develop professional competencies and better understand their future roles in the workplace.

Another important trend is the widespread use of digital technologies in education. Digital tools, including online platforms, virtual laboratories, and simulation software, provide new opportunities for interactive and flexible learning. They allow educators to personalize the learning process, monitor student progress, and enhance engagement. Moreover, digitalization supports blended and distance learning, which has become especially relevant in recent years.

However, the implementation of modern teaching methodologies is associated with certain challenges. These include insufficient technical infrastructure, lack of digital competencies among teachers and students, and resistance to change within educational institutions. Addressing these issues requires systematic efforts, including teacher training, modernization of equipment, and development of methodological support.

In addition, the concept of student-centered learning has become increasingly important. This approach shifts the focus from the teacher to the learner, encouraging active participation, independent thinking, and collaboration. Students are no longer passive recipients of information but active participants in the educational process.

The aim of this study is to analyze modern teaching methodologies used in technical colleges, evaluate their effectiveness, and identify key directions for improving the educational process. The study focuses on practice-oriented approaches, digital technologies, and innovative teaching methods that contribute to the development of professional competencies.

Main Part

1. Transformation of Teaching Approaches in Technical Education

The transformation of teaching methodologies in technical colleges is driven by the need to align education with modern industry requirements. Traditional lecture-based instruction, while still important for delivering fundamental knowledge, is no longer sufficient on its own.

Modern approaches emphasize active learning, where students participate directly in the educational process. This includes discussions, case studies, and problem-solving tasks. Such methods help develop analytical thinking and improve knowledge retention.

The shift from teacher-centered to student-centered learning represents a key paradigm change in modern education.

2. Practice-Oriented Learning as a Core Principle

Practice-oriented learning is a fundamental component of technical education. It ensures that students are able to apply theoretical knowledge in real-world contexts.

This approach includes:

laboratory experiments;

practical training sessions;

industrial internships;

project-based assignments.

Through these activities, students gain hands-on experience and develop professional competencies required in their future careers.

In addition, collaboration with industry partners allows educational institutions to adapt curricula to current labor market demands.

3. Integration of Digital Technologies in the Learning Process

Digital technologies significantly enhance the teaching and learning process. They provide new tools for content delivery, communication, and assessment.

Key digital tools include:

learning management systems (LMS);

virtual laboratories and simulations;

multimedia presentations;

online assessment systems.

These technologies support blended learning models, combining traditional classroom instruction with online components.

Moreover, digitalization allows for personalized learning, where students can progress at their own pace and receive immediate feedback.

4. Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness

The effectiveness of teaching methodologies can be expressed as:

$$E = S / T$$

where:

E – effectiveness of teaching;

S – student learning outcomes;

T – time spent on learning.

This simplified model demonstrates that effective teaching methods lead to higher learning outcomes within a shorter time.

In practice, effectiveness can be assessed through student performance, engagement, and the level of competency development.

5. Project-Based and Problem-Based Learning

Project-based learning (PBL) and problem-based learning are widely used in modern technical education. These approaches involve students in solving real or simulated problems.

Advantages include:

development of critical thinking;

improvement of teamwork skills;

increased motivation;

better understanding of subject matter.

Students become active participants in the learning process, which leads to deeper knowledge acquisition.

6. Challenges in Implementing Modern Methodologies

Despite the advantages of modern teaching approaches, several challenges remain:

limited access to modern equipment;

insufficient digital literacy among teachers and students;

lack of methodological support;

resistance to adopting new technologies.

Addressing these challenges requires institutional support, professional development programs, and investment in educational infrastructure.

7. Strategies for Improving Teaching Quality

To enhance the effectiveness of teaching methodologies in technical colleges, the following strategies are recommended:

integration of digital tools into all disciplines;

expansion of practical training components;

continuous professional development of teachers;

strengthening collaboration with industry partners;

implementation of innovative assessment methods.

These measures contribute to improving the overall quality of education and preparing students for modern professional environments.

References

1. OECD. Education in the Digital Age. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2021. 180 p.
2. UNESCO. Technical and Vocational Education Report. Paris: UNESCO, 2022. 150p.
3. Kolb, D. Experiential Learning. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 2015. 390 p.
4. Prince, M. Does Active Learning Work? New York: Routledge, 2020. 200 p.

Eurasian Education, Science and Innovation Journal, Volume 24, 2026,
Aachen, Germany www.euco.kz
Article ID: 2026-002

DIGITALISATION AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AS DRIVERS OF TRANSFORMATION IN THE MODERN ECONOMY AND BUSINESS

Aruna Zhumanazar

M.Auezov South Kazakhstan University, Shymkent, Kazakhstan
zumanazararuna@gmail.com

Abstract: This article examines the impact of digitalisation and artificial intelligence technologies on structural changes in the modern economy and the transformation of business processes. It explores key areas for the implementation of intelligent systems in the managerial and operational activities of organisations, as well as their contribution to improving efficiency, reducing costs and enhancing competitiveness. The article argues that, despite the high potential of artificial intelligence as an optimisation tool, its functional capabilities are limited in the context of strategic thinking and complex decision-making. It emphasises that sustainable business development in the digital economy is achieved through the synergy of human capital and intelligent technologies.

Keywords: *digitalisation, artificial intelligence, business processes, economic transformation.*

Against the backdrop of global digital transformation, the economy and business are undergoing fundamental changes driven by the adoption of modern information and communication technologies. One of the key drivers of these processes is artificial intelligence (AI), which is becoming an integral part of how organisations and markets operate. The relevance of this topic stems from the fact that, in the coming years, AI will play a decisive role in economic development, shaping new models of management, production and customer interaction. Even today, it is impossible to imagine large companies without the use of digital tools, automation and analytical systems. The aim of this article is to analyse the role of digitalisation and artificial intelligence in the transformation of the modern economy, as well as to define the limits of their application in business.

Digitalisation is a systematic process of integrating digital technologies into economic activity, accompanied by changes in market structures, consumption patterns and management mechanisms. Unlike simple automation, digitalisation affects not only the operational level but also the strategic aspects of how the economy functions. A key element of digitalisation is the shift towards data as a primary resource.

Economic value is generated through the collection, processing and analysis of large volumes of information, enabling companies to make informed management decisions in real time. This results in a reduction in information asymmetry and increased transparency in the business environment. A significant trend is the platformisation of the economy. Digital platforms are transforming traditional markets, reducing the role of intermediaries and enabling direct interaction between producers and consumers. This leads to a reduction in transaction costs and an acceleration of capital turnover. Digitalisation facilitates the development of flexible business models based on rapid scaling and adaptation to changes in the external environment. The use of cloud technologies and digital infrastructures enables companies to minimise capital expenditure and focus on their core competencies. Consequently, digitalisation serves as the foundation of the modern economy, facilitating the transition from an industrial model to a digital one, where information and the speed at which it is processed become the primary drivers of growth.

Artificial intelligence is a key tool for advanced digitalisation, facilitating the transition from operational automation to intelligent process management. Its main advantage lies in its ability to analyse large volumes of data and identify patterns that are inaccessible to traditional methods of analysis. In business processes, AI is primarily used to optimise operational activities. In demand management, machine learning algorithms enable highly accurate forecasting of consumer behaviour, thereby reducing costs associated with excess inventory and logistics. In the financial sector, AI is used for risk assessment, the detection of fraudulent transactions and the automation of analytical procedures. [1]. In the field of human resources management, intelligent systems enable the analysis of employee performance, the forecasting of staff turnover, and the improvement of recruitment efficiency. In marketing, AI enables the personalisation of customer interactions by generating tailored offers based on the analysis of user behaviour. The use of AI in customer service deserves special attention. Virtual assistants and chatbots provide round-the-clock support, reducing the workload on staff and speeding up the processing of enquiries. This helps to increase customer satisfaction and reduce operational costs. Despite significant advantages, the application of artificial intelligence is of a supporting nature. Its effectiveness depends directly on the quality of the input data and the accuracy of the algorithms. Furthermore, AI is not capable of independently formulating strategic objectives or taking into account complex socio-economic factors. Based on the above, artificial intelligence is an important factor in improving the efficiency of business processes; however, its role lies in enhancing human analytical and operational capabilities, rather than replacing them [2].

Artificial intelligence significantly improves the efficiency of information processing through the use of algorithms capable of handling large volumes of data and identifying hidden patterns. The application of AI reduces operational costs, minimises the human factor in routine tasks and enhances the accuracy of analytical conclusions. In a highly competitive environment, this creates sustainable advantages for companies that integrate intelligent systems into their management and operational activities. A key advantage is AI's ability to operate in a continuous data-processing mode without compromising the quality of results. This is particularly important in financial analytics, logistics and customer experience management, where response speed directly impacts business performance metrics. Machine learning algorithms are capable of adapting to changes in input data, ensuring more accurate forecasting and rapid adjustment of decisions [3]. At the same time, a number of fundamental limitations remain. AI operates within the framework of predefined algorithms and lacks an independent understanding of context beyond the training dataset. The quality of the results is directly determined by the completeness and reliability of the source data. Errors in the data or incorrect models lead to distorted analytical conclusions. A separate issue remains the lack of creative and critical thinking. Artificial intelligence is incapable of forming new concepts outside the given logic, nor can it take into account the moral and ethical aspects of management decisions. In strategic management, this limitation is of key importance, as long-term development requires a comprehensive analysis that includes social, cultural and behavioural factors. Consequently, it is advisable to view AI as a tool for improving efficiency, rather than as an autonomous decision-making system. Its application requires human oversight and integration with expert judgement [4].

The development of artificial intelligence is characterised by steady growth and an expanding range of applications. Intelligent technologies are gradually becoming a fundamental component of the economic infrastructure, influencing the emergence of new markets and the transformation of existing industries. One of the key areas is the further automation of management processes. Companies are moving towards using systems capable not only of analysing data, but also of proposing optimal management decisions based on predictive models. This increases the speed at which businesses can respond to changes in the external environment and reduces the level of uncertainty. AI is having a significant impact on the labour market. A redistribution of roles is taking place: routine tasks are being handed over to automated systems, whilst demand is growing for specialists with analytical thinking, digital skills and data handling abilities. New professional fields are emerging, linked to the development, implementation and monitoring of intelligent technologies. The economic system is gradually becoming highly adaptable [5]. The use of AI facilitates the personalisation of products and services, thereby enhancing the fulfilment of consumer needs. Companies are able to develop more precise strategies for engaging with customers, which strengthens their competitive position. Despite the rapid advancement of technology, the key role of the human element remains. The effectiveness of AI implementation is determined by the quality of management decisions made on the basis of its analytical results. The future of the economy lies in the integration of human capital and intelligent systems, where technology acts as a tool to enhance capabilities rather than replace them. In the long term, artificial intelligence will become an integral part of the economic environment. Its influence will be evident not only in increased productivity but also in changes to the principles of business organisation and resource management.

Digitalisation and artificial intelligence are key drivers of economic and business transformation. Their implementation facilitates the transition to data-driven management, reduces costs, speeds up operations and improves the accuracy of decision-making. Companies integrating AI into their business processes demonstrate increased productivity, improved customer service and a stronger competitive position. At the same time, limitations have been identified: the dependence of results on data quality, a lack of creative and strategic thinking, and an inability to take into account the complex socio-economic context [6]. This confirms that AI cannot replace humans in managerial decision-making. The most effective development model is the integration of AI and human capital. In such circumstances, technology performs analytical and operational functions, whilst humans provide strategic management. The expansion of AI applications in the near future will become a sustained trend and a defining factor in the development of the digital economy.

References

1. Imanbayeva, Z.O., Aidaraliyeva, A.A., Saiymova, M.D., Baimukasheva, Z., Bekesheva, D.A. Digitalization of the Sectors of the Economy of Kazakhstan. Scientific Journal of Pedagogy and Economics, 2023, Vol. 402(2), pp. 377–388. Available at: https://doi.org/10.32014/2023.2518-1467.480
2. Beisembai, E., Titkov, A.A., Zhumagalievna, S.I. Organizational-Economic Trends and Urgent Problems of Digitalization of the Economy of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Economics: Strategy and Practice, 2021, Vol. 16(3), pp. 51–67.
3. Kerimkhulle, S. et al. Investment Cooperation as a Digital Economy Development Method for the Republic of Kazakhstan and the EU. World Development Perspectives, 2024. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wdp.2024.100636

4. Caputo, A., Pellegrini, M.M., Marzi, G., Dabic, M. Digitalization and Business Models: Where Are We Going? Journal of Business Research, 2021, Vol. 123, pp. 489–501.
5. Rajasekhara Mouly, P., Mukhtarova, K., Tovma, N., Chukubayev, Y., Baikushikova, G. Digitalization in the Socio-Economic Sphere: Development Content and Results. International Relations and International Law Journal, 2020, Vol. 90(2).
6. Hajkowicz, S., Sanderson, C., Karimi, S. et al. Artificial Intelligence Adoption Across Industries: A Bibliometric Analysis. arXiv preprint, 2023. Available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.09145

Eurasian Education, Science and Innovation Journal, Volume 24, 2026,
Aachen, Germany www.euco.kz
Article ID: 2026-003

MODERN MANAGEMENT OF ARTERIAL HYPERTENSION IN PRIMARY CARE: INTEGRATING EVIDENCE-BASED GUIDELINES, DIGITAL HEALTH, AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Orynbassar Rakhat Bauyrzhanuly

Mediterra Institute of Surgery LLC, Almaty, Kazakhstan
rakhat.orynbasar@inbox.ru

Abstract: Arterial hypertension remains one of the leading modifiable risk factors for cardiovascular disease and premature mortality worldwide. Despite the availability of evidence-based clinical guidelines and effective pharmacological therapies, blood pressure control remains inadequate in a substantial proportion of patients, particularly in primary healthcare settings. Recent advances in digital health technologies and artificial intelligence (AI) have introduced new opportunities for improving hypertension diagnosis, monitoring, risk stratification, and long-term disease management.

This review aimed to critically evaluate contemporary approaches to arterial hypertension management in primary care, with a particular focus on evidence-based clinical recommendations, digital health technologies, telemedicine, remote blood pressure monitoring, and artificial intelligence.

A structured narrative literature review was conducted using publications retrieved from PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Current international clinical guidelines from the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), the European Society of Hypertension (ESH), the American College of Cardiology (ACC), the American Heart Association (AHA), and the World Health Organization (WHO) were also reviewed. Eligible publications included clinical guidelines, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, observational studies, and implementation research published between 2019 and 2026.

The reviewed evidence indicates that integrating home and ambulatory blood pressure monitoring with digital health platforms improves diagnostic accuracy, patient engagement, medication adherence, and continuity of care. Artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms demonstrate promising performance in cardiovascular risk prediction, individualized treatment planning, and clinical decision support. However, widespread implementation remains limited by heterogeneity of available evidence, insufficient external validation, concerns regarding algorithm transparency, regulatory and ethical issues, interoperability, and barriers to integration into routine clinical workflows.

Digital health technologies and artificial intelligence have the potential to substantially improve hypertension management in primary care by supporting personalized, continuous, and evidence-based care. Nevertheless, further multicenter prospective studies, standardized validation frameworks, implementation research, and healthcare system integration are required before these technologies can be routinely adopted in clinical practice.

Keywords: *arterial hypertension; primary care; cardiovascular risk; blood pressure monitoring; digital health; telemedicine; artificial intelligence; machine learning; clinical decision support; medication adherence; precision medicine*.

Introduction

Arterial hypertension stands as the leading global risk factor for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, necessitating a critical reevaluation of current management paradigms in the primary care setting [1]. While traditional clinical protocols have long provided the structural backbone for patient care, persistent gaps in blood pressure control rates underscore an urgent need for more adaptive and precise intervention models [2]. The shift toward digital health and artificial intelligence offers a pathway to overcome these limitations by moving beyond rigid, rule-based guidelines toward personalized, continuous therapeutic adjustments that account for individual patient variability and real-time physiological data [3-4]. By facilitating remote monitoring and the deployment of sophisticated diagnostic algorithms, these technologies enable clinicians to transition from episodic assessments to proactive, multidisciplinary care strategies [5-6]. The burden of this chronic condition is exacerbated by significant socioeconomic barriers and the diagnostic limitations of office-based measurement, which often fail to capture the nuanced longitudinal trends required for effective risk stratification [7]. Globally, arterial hypertension affects approximately 1.5 billion individuals, yet despite the availability of standardized treatments, therapeutic target achievement remains sub-optimal in the majority of patients [8]. This gap is compounded by the inherent discordance in international diagnostic thresholds, which complicates standardized implementation across diverse healthcare systems [9]. To address these systemic shortcomings, the clinical paradigm is evolving from legacy office-based care toward integrated digital ecosystems that utilize remote monitoring and mobile health interventions to enhance long-term patient engagement and medication adherence [10]. Integrating artificial intelligence into this framework addresses the limitations of traditional manual follow-ups, as machine learning algorithms excel at synthesizing the multifactorial inputs—ranging from genetic predispositions to environmental triggers—that drive chronic blood pressure dysregulation [11-12]. By leveraging advanced predictive modeling and the integration of multimodal data—such as clinical metrics, omics, and behavioral inputs—AI-driven platforms can facilitate a more nuanced, precision-based approach to diagnosis and therapeutic management [13], [14]. Furthermore, these computational models are essential for mitigating the clinical inertia that frequently hinders timely treatment intensification in resource-constrained primary care environments [15-16].

Despite substantial advances in evidence-based pharmacotherapy, international clinical guidelines, and digital health technologies, important gaps remain in translating these innovations into routine primary care practice. Existing reviews often focus on individual aspects of hypertension management, such as pharmacological treatment, home blood pressure monitoring, telemedicine, or artificial intelligence, without providing an integrated perspective on how these approaches can be effectively combined within the primary healthcare setting. Moreover, the rapid evolution of clinical guidelines and AI-enabled decision-support systems necessitates an updated synthesis of current evidence that is directly applicable to general practitioners and family physicians.

Therefore, the aim of this review is to critically evaluate contemporary strategies for the management of arterial hypertension in primary care, with particular emphasis on evidence-based clinical recommendations, cardiovascular risk assessment, lifestyle interventions, pharmacological treatment, medication adherence, remote blood pressure monitoring, digital health technologies, and artificial intelligence. Furthermore, this review discusses the practical challenges associated with implementing these innovations in routine clinical practice and highlights future directions for developing more personalized, efficient, and patient-centered models of hypertension care.

Literature Review

Current clinical guidelines emphasize the primacy of standardized blood pressure thresholds and the necessity of out-of-office measurement, such as ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, to mitigate white-coat effects. Concurrently, the integration of digital health tools is revolutionizing this diagnostic process by enabling precise, non-invasive home monitoring that facilitates personalized lifestyle modifications and enhances patient adherence [17-18]. Beyond these diagnostic improvements, machine learning algorithms are increasingly utilized to synthesize vast, longitudinal datasets to refine individual cardiovascular risk stratification and optimize therapeutic decision-making [19-20]. These predictive frameworks leverage advanced analytics to identify non-linear patterns within complex patient profiles, enabling clinicians to proactively tailor interventions before overt clinical events occur [21-22]. Despite these advancements, the transition to AI-integrated models faces substantial hurdles, including the necessity for rigorous model validation, enhanced interpretability, and the resolution of complex regulatory and ethical barriers [23-24]. Moreover, emerging studies suggest that AI models, including deep learning and decision trees, can achieve up to 95% accuracy in early detection, offering a transformative potential for proactive cardiovascular risk management [25]. These computational approaches further facilitate the transition toward precision medicine by enabling clinicians to move beyond population-averaged guidelines to highly individualized treatment trajectories [26]. Building on this, the proliferation of wearable sensors—ranging from smartwatches to specialized ECG patches—provides the high-frequency data streams essential for fueling these predictive algorithms [27]. These platforms, when integrated with mobile health applications, generate large-scale datasets that identify hidden patterns and predict long-term risk trajectories [28]. However, the clinical utility of these AI-enhanced platforms remains hampered by challenges in achieving consistent accuracy, as evidenced by recent large-scale evaluations that failed to meet established regulatory standards [29].

Overall, the current body of evidence demonstrates that hypertension management is undergoing a profound transformation driven by advances in digital health, artificial intelligence, and precision medicine. Although these innovations have shown considerable promise in improving blood pressure monitoring, cardiovascular risk prediction, and individualized treatment planning, their widespread implementation in primary care remains constrained by technological, organizational, regulatory, and ethical challenges. Consequently, successful integration of these approaches requires not only further technological refinement but also robust clinical validation, standardized implementation frameworks, healthcare professional training, and patient acceptance.

Building upon these developments, the following sections of this review examine the contemporary evidence regarding hypertension diagnosis, cardiovascular risk assessment, lifestyle interventions, pharmacological treatment, medication adherence, resistant hypertension, remote monitoring, and the practical integration of digital technologies into routine primary care. Particular attention is given to identifying evidence-based strategies that can improve long-term blood pressure control and reduce the burden of cardiovascular disease in everyday clinical practice.

Methodology

This review was conducted using a structured narrative approach to provide a comprehensive synthesis of contemporary evidence regarding the management of arterial hypertension in primary care, with particular emphasis on digital health technologies and artificial intelligence. A systematic literature search was performed in PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science Core Collection, and Google Scholar to identify relevant publications published between January 2019 and July 2026. In addition, the most recent clinical practice guidelines issued by the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), the European Society of Hypertension (ESH), the American College of Cardiology (ACC), the American Heart Association (AHA), and the World Health Organization (WHO) were reviewed.

The search strategy combined Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and free-text keywords, including arterial hypertension*,* high blood pressure*,* primary care*,* family medicine*,* blood pressure monitoring*,* ambulatory blood pressure monitoring*,* home blood pressure monitoring*,* telemedicine*,* digital health*,* wearable devices*,* artificial intelligence*,* machine learning*,* clinical decision support*,* medication adherence*, and* cardiovascular risk assessment*.*

Eligible publications included clinical practice guidelines, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, prospective and retrospective cohort studies, observational studies, and implementation research involving adult patients with arterial hypertension. Studies focused exclusively on pediatric hypertension, pregnancy-related hypertension, pulmonary hypertension, or acute hypertensive emergencies were excluded unless their findings were directly applicable to primary care.

The retrieved literature was critically evaluated and synthesized according to thematic domains relevant to routine clinical practice, including hypertension diagnosis, cardiovascular risk assessment, lifestyle modification, pharmacological treatment, medication adherence, resistant hypertension, home and ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, telemedicine, and artificial intelligence. Particular attention was given to the methodological quality of the studies, consistency of clinical evidence, applicability to primary healthcare settings, and the practical challenges associated with implementing digital technologies in hypertension management.

Results

The literature analysis demonstrated a consistent evolution in the management of arterial hypertension from conventional office-based care toward integrated, patient-centered models supported by digital health technologies and artificial intelligence. Across the reviewed studies, several key trends were identified.

First, current evidence consistently supports the use of standardized blood pressure measurement combined with home and ambulatory blood pressure monitoring to improve diagnostic accuracy and reduce misclassification associated with white-coat and masked hypertension. These approaches provide a more reliable assessment of long-term blood pressure control and facilitate earlier therapeutic intervention.

Second, digital health technologies, including mobile health applications, telemedicine platforms, wearable devices, and remote blood pressure monitoring systems, were shown to improve patient engagement, medication adherence, and continuity of care. Most studies reported clinically meaningful reductions in systolic blood pressure together with increased rates of achieving recommended treatment targets. Remote monitoring also enabled earlier identification of treatment failure, poor adherence, and abnormal blood pressure variability, thereby reducing unnecessary outpatient visits while supporting more individualized follow-up.

Third, artificial intelligence has emerged as a promising tool for cardiovascular risk prediction, clinical decision support, and personalized hypertension management. Machine learning models, particularly ensemble learning algorithms such as Random Forest and Gradient Boosting, frequently demonstrated superior predictive performance compared with traditional statistical risk models by simultaneously analyzing multiple clinical, demographic, laboratory, and behavioral variables. Recent studies also highlighted the growing role of deep learning and large language models in assisting clinical decision-making, optimizing treatment selection, and supporting physicians in primary care.

Despite these encouraging findings, several important limitations were consistently identified across the reviewed literature. Considerable heterogeneity exists in study design, patient populations, outcome measures, duration of follow-up, and validation strategies, making direct comparisons between studies difficult. Furthermore, many artificial intelligence models have been developed using retrospective datasets and remain insufficiently validated in prospective multicenter clinical settings. Issues related to algorithm transparency, interpretability, data privacy, cybersecurity, regulatory approval, and integration into existing healthcare systems continue to represent major barriers to widespread clinical implementation.

Overall, the available evidence indicates that combining evidence-based clinical guidelines with digital health technologies and artificial intelligence has the potential to improve hypertension diagnosis, optimize cardiovascular risk assessment, enhance medication adherence, and facilitate personalized treatment in primary care. However, additional large-scale prospective studies and implementation research are required before these technologies can be routinely incorporated into everyday clinical practice.

Overall, the available evidence indicates that digital health technologies and artificial intelligence have considerable potential to improve the diagnosis, monitoring, and management of arterial hypertension in primary care. However, their successful implementation depends not only on technological performance but also on effective integration into routine clinical workflows, interoperability with existing healthcare systems, clinician training, patient acceptance, and compliance with regulatory and ethical standards. Future research should therefore focus on large-scale prospective validation studies, implementation science, and the development of transparent, explainable, and clinically interpretable AI systems that support, rather than replace, physician decision-making. Bridging the gap between technological innovation and real-world clinical practice will be essential for achieving sustainable improvements in blood pressure control and reducing the global burden of cardiovascular disease.

Conclusion

Arterial hypertension remains one of the most significant global public health challenges and continues to be a leading contributor to cardiovascular morbidity and premature mortality despite the availability of effective evidence-based therapies. This review demonstrates that the management of hypertension is evolving from traditional office-based, guideline-driven care toward more personalized, continuous, and technology-supported models that integrate digital health solutions, remote blood pressure monitoring, telemedicine, and artificial intelligence.

The available evidence suggests that digital health interventions can improve blood pressure monitoring, medication adherence, patient engagement, and continuity of care, while artificial intelligence offers promising opportunities for cardiovascular risk prediction, clinical decision support, and individualized treatment planning. Machine learning algorithms and advanced predictive models have shown encouraging performance in identifying patients at high cardiovascular risk and supporting clinical decision-making, particularly within primary healthcare settings where early intervention has the greatest potential impact.

Nevertheless, important challenges remain before these technologies can be routinely implemented in everyday clinical practice. The heterogeneity of existing studies, limited external validation of artificial intelligence models, concerns regarding algorithm transparency and interpretability, data privacy, cybersecurity, regulatory compliance, and unequal access to digital technologies continue to restrict widespread adoption. Furthermore, successful implementation requires seamless integration into clinical workflows, adequate physician training, patient acceptance, and robust health information infrastructures.

Overall, digital health and artificial intelligence should be regarded as complementary tools that enhance, rather than replace, evidence-based clinical decision-making. Their greatest value lies in supporting physicians through continuous patient monitoring, early identification of uncontrolled hypertension, personalized risk assessment, and timely therapeutic optimization.

Future research should prioritize multicenter prospective clinical studies, standardized validation frameworks, implementation science, and cost-effectiveness analyses to establish the long-term clinical benefits of these technologies. Strengthening collaboration among clinicians, data scientists, engineers, healthcare administrators, and policymakers will be essential for translating technological innovation into sustainable improvements in hypertension management. Such an integrated approach has the potential to increase blood pressure control rates, reduce cardiovascular complications, improve quality of life, and lessen the global burden of hypertension.

References

1 I. Skalidis *et al.*, “Artificial Intelligence and Advanced Digital Health for Hypertension: Evolving Tools for Precision Cardiovascular Care,” *Medicina*, vol. 61, no. 9, pp. 1597–1597, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.3390/medicina61091597.

2 Z. Yin, H. Miao, Z. Zhou, X. Li, and Y. Zhang, “Current perspectives and challenges of digital hypertension: artificial intelligence in the management of hypertension,” *Clinical Hypertension*, vol. 32, no. 1, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.5646/ch.2026.32.e17.

3 U. K. Dimgba and E. F. Ozioko, “REINFORCEMENT LEARNING-DRIVEN TREATMENT OPTIMIZATION FOR HYPERTENSION MANAGEMENT IN PRIMARY HEALTHCARE,” *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*, Feb. 2026, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.18610538.

4 U. K. Dimgba and E. F. Ozioko, “REINFORCEMENT LEARNING-DRIVEN TREATMENT OPTIMIZATION FOR HYPERTENSION MANAGEMENT IN PRIMARY HEALTHCARE,” *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*, Feb. 2026, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.18610539.

5 K. Shakhobiddinov, “OPTIMIZING THE MANAGEMENT PROCESS OF PATIENTS WITH ARTERIAL HYPERTENSION,” *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*, Feb. 2026, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.18839970.

6 A. Rokhibjonov, “MODERN OPPORTUNITIES OF DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES IN THE MANAGEMENT OF HYPERTENSION,” *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.18349610.

7 X. Sherniyozova and S. Saidov, “ARTERIAL HYPERTENSION: MODERN CLASSIFICATION AND TREATMENT APPROACHES,” *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*, Feb. 2026, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.18639717.

8 P. Minuz *et al.*, “Telemedicine and Digital Medicine in the Clinical Management of Hypertension and Hypertension-Related Cardiovascular Diseases: A Position Paper of the Italian Society of Arterial Hypertension (SIIA),” *BOA (University of Milano-Bicocca)*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 387–399, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.1007/s40292-023-00595-0.

9 Y. C. Q. Chuquitarco, S. F. M. Quilligana, V. J. G. Andrade, T. E. H. López, and P. M. C. Sánchez, “New advances in the treatment and diagnosis of hypertension in primary care: a literature review,” *Sapienza International Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, vol. 5, no. 1, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.51798/sijis.v5i1.739.

10 A. Rokhibjonov, “MODERN OPPORTUNITIES OF DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES IN THE MANAGEMENT OF HYPERTENSION,” *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.18349609.

11 M. Yang *et al.*, “Smart Community Hypertension Management: A Narrative Review of Promises and Challenges,” *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, pp. 1–12, Mar. 2026, doi: 10.2147/jmdh.s583914.

12 J. S. Cho and J. Park, “Application of artificial intelligence in hypertension,” *Clinical Hypertension*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 11–11, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1186/s40885-024-00266-9.

13 F. Varzideh, P. Mone, U. Kansakar, S. Pande, S. S. Jankauskas, and G. Santulli, “Artificial Intelligence in Cardiovascular Medicine: Focus on Hypertension,” *Hypertension*, Mar. 2026, doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.126.26094.

14 A. A. Armoundas *et al.*, “Controversy in Hypertension: Pro-Side of the Argument Using Artificial Intelligence for Hypertension Diagnosis and Management,” *Hypertension*, vol. 82, no. 6, pp. 929–944, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.124.22349.

15 S. Padmanabhan, T. Tran, and A. F. Dominiczak, “Artificial Intelligence in Hypertension,” *Circulation Research*, vol. 128, no. 7, pp. 1100–1118, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1161/circresaha.121.318106.

16 A. Karnik and E. Yang, “Artificial Intelligence to Improve Blood Pressure Control: A State-of-the-Art Review,” *American Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 38, no. 8, pp. 519–526, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.1093/ajh/hpaf035.

17 A. Karnik and E. Yang, “Artificial Intelligence to Improve Blood Pressure Control: A State-of-the-Art Review,” *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*. European Organization for Nuclear Research, Mar. 20, 2025. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.18983348.

18 A. Karnik and E. Yang, “Artificial Intelligence to Improve Blood Pressure Control: A State-of-the-Art Review,” *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*. European Organization for Nuclear Research, Mar. 20, 2025. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.18983347.

19 D. Shimbo *et al.*, “Transforming Hypertension Diagnosis and Management in The Era of Artificial Intelligence: A 2023 National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) Workshop Report,” *PubMed Central*, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 36–45, Jul. 2024, doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.124.22095.

20 A. T. Layton, “AI, Machine Learning, and ChatGPT in Hypertension,” *Hypertension*, vol. 81, no. 4, pp. 709–716, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.124.19468.

21 A. Chauhan and B. Ilma, “Digital Precision Hypertension: AI-Driven Monitoring, Personalized Treatment and Real-World Implementation,” *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.17660164.

22 A. Faezi and B. Alinezhad, “AI-Enhanced Health Tools for Revolutionizing Hypertension Management and Blood Pressure Control,” *InfoScience Trends*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 67–72, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.61186/ist.202401.01.08.

23 Z. Jahangir, S. M. Qureshi, Y. A. Rehman, S. U. R. Shah, H. A. Qureshi, and A. Ahmad, “Revolutionizing AI-driven Hypertension Care: A Review of Current Trends and Future Directions,” *Journal of Science & Technology*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 99–132, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.55662/jst.2024.5405.

24 M. Dherani, S. K. Wagner, and E. Shantsila, “The role of artificial intelligence in hypertension management,” *Current Opinion in Nephrology & Hypertension*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 181–187, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.1097/mnh.0000000000001157.

25 P. Damodaran, “P014 APPLICATION OF AI IN HYPERTENSION MANAGEMENT: A REVIEW,” *Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 42, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.1097/01.hjh.0001062924.81418.4e.

26 V. Visco *et al.*, “Artificial Intelligence in Hypertension Management: An Ace up Your Sleeve,” *Journal of Cardiovascular Development and Disease*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 74–74, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.3390/jcdd10020074.

27 R. Maddula *et al.*, “The role of digital health in the cardiovascular learning healthcare system,” *Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine*, vol. 9, pp. 1008575–1008575, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.1008575.

28 A. Chauhan and B. Ilma, “Digital Precision Hypertension: AI-Driven Monitoring, Personalized Treatment and Real-World Implementation,” *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.17660165.

29 I. Sekitoleko and J. B. Byrd, “Controversy in Hypertension: Con-side of the argument using AI for HTN diagnosis and management,” *Hypertension*, vol. 82, no. 11, pp. 1789–1793, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.125.23626.

30 S. F. Alam and M. L. G. Suarez, “Transforming Healthcare: The AI Revolution in the Comprehensive Care of Hypertension,” *Clinics and Practice*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 1357–1374, Jul. 2024, doi: 10.3390/clinpract14040109.

31 A. Tiwari *et al.*, “The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Cardiovascular Disease Risk Prediction: An Updated Review on Current Understanding and Future Research,” *Current Cardiology Reviews*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 112–122, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.2174/011573403x351048250329170744.

32 S. Ramdani, N. E. H. Benkaddour, and I. Haddiya, “Digital advancements in hypertension management,” pp. 1–13, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1109/esai62891.2024.10913561.

33 A. Naik *et al.*, “Artificial intelligence and digital twins for the personalised prediction of hypertension risk,” *VBN Forskningsportal (Aalborg Universitet)*, vol. 196, pp. 110718–110718, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2025.110718.

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN CARDIOVASCULAR RISK ASSESSMENT IN PRIMARY CARE

Orynbassar Rakhat Bauyrzhanuly

Mediterra Institute of Surgery LLC, Almaty, Kazakhstan
rakhat.orynbasar@inbox.ru

Abstract: Cardiovascular diseases remain the leading cause of mortality worldwide, highlighting the need for more accurate and individualized approaches to cardiovascular risk assessment in primary care. Conventional risk prediction models, although widely used, often have limited predictive performance because they rely on a restricted number of clinical variables and linear statistical methods. Artificial intelligence (AI), including machine learning and deep learning, has emerged as a promising tool for improving risk prediction by integrating heterogeneous clinical, demographic, laboratory, imaging, and behavioral data. This review critically examines current evidence regarding the application of AI in cardiovascular risk assessment, with particular emphasis on its role in primary healthcare. A structured literature review was conducted using publications retrieved from PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Embase, together with recent international clinical guidelines. The reviewed evidence indicates that AI-based models generally demonstrate superior predictive performance compared with conventional risk scores and may enhance early identification of high-risk individuals, personalized prevention, and clinical decision support. However, widespread implementation remains limited by insufficient external validation, algorithm interpretability, data privacy concerns, regulatory challenges, and integration with existing clinical workflows. Future research should focus on prospective multicenter validation, explainable AI, standardized reporting, and implementation strategies to support the safe and effective adoption of AI in routine primary care.

Keywords: *artificial intelligence; cardiovascular risk assessment; primary care; machine learning; clinical decision support; cardiovascular disease.*

Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases persist as a leading cause of global mortality, placing an increasing burden on primary care systems that often rely on static, traditional risk prediction models [1-2]. These conventional frameworks frequently fail to incorporate emerging contributors such as psychosocial stress and novel biomarkers, which limits their generalizability and predictive precision across diverse populations [3]. In response, artificial intelligence—encompassing machine learning and deep learning—offers a revolutionary strategy by analyzing large-scale datasets to move beyond population-based averages toward personalized risk forecasting [4], [5]. By integrating electronic health records with multimodal data, these computational approaches enhance the identification of high-risk individuals for targeted preventive interventions [6-7]. This review aims to evaluate the current efficacy, clinical implementation, and inherent limitations of AI-driven tools in primary care to determine their potential for improving cardiovascular outcomes [8]. Furthermore, we synthesize evidence on how these algorithmic systems address the limitations of linear risk models by uncovering complex, non-linear interactions within routine clinical data [9-10]. The trajectory of cardiovascular risk stratification has transitioned from established heuristic frameworks, such as the Framingham Heart Score and QRISK, toward sophisticated machine learning architectures [11]. While early statistical models relied on limited clinical variables, contemporary algorithms like Random Forest, XGBoost, and deep learning neural networks demonstrate superior discriminative performance by processing high-dimensional data [12]. These advanced models effectively mitigate the limitations of traditional, linear methodologies by identifying nuanced patterns and non-linear associations within unstructured clinical documentation [13-14]. These models have already demonstrated higher pooled area under the curve metrics compared to conventional statistical approaches, significantly enhancing the precision of risk ascertainment [15-16]. Beyond mere risk stratification, recent machine learning applications have successfully leveraged electrocardiographic signals and multi-omics data to refine cardiovascular phenotyping, facilitating a transition toward precision medicine [17]. Despite these technical advancements, significant translational hurdles remain, particularly regarding the integration of these models into existing electronic health record systems [18-19]. Current implementation is further hindered by a lack of standardized validation protocols and the absence of regulatory frameworks necessary to ensure algorithmic transparency and fairness in real-world clinical environments [20].

Despite the remarkable progress in artificial intelligence–based cardiovascular risk prediction, important challenges continue to limit its routine application in primary care. Existing studies differ substantially in study design, data sources, patient populations, outcome definitions, and validation strategies, making direct comparison and clinical interpretation difficult. Moreover, issues related to model interpretability, external validation, data privacy, algorithmic bias, and integration into clinical workflows remain insufficiently addressed. Consequently, there is a need for a comprehensive synthesis of current evidence that critically evaluates both the opportunities and limitations of artificial intelligence in cardiovascular risk assessment. Therefore, the aim of this review is to examine the current state of AI-driven cardiovascular risk prediction in primary care, evaluate its clinical performance and implementation challenges, and discuss future directions for integrating intelligent decision-support systems into routine preventive cardiovascular care.

Methodology

This study was conducted as a structured narrative review to critically evaluate the current evidence regarding the application of artificial intelligence (AI) in cardiovascular risk assessment within primary care. A comprehensive literature search was performed using four major electronic databases: PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science Core Collection, and Embase. Additional relevant publications were identified through manual screening of reference lists and by reviewing the latest clinical practice guidelines issued by the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), the American College of Cardiology (ACC), the American Heart Association (AHA), and the World Health Organization (WHO).

The search included studies published between January 2019 and July 2026, reflecting the period of rapid development and clinical implementation of machine learning and deep learning technologies in cardiovascular medicine. The search strategy combined Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and free-text keywords, including artificial intelligence, machine learning, deep learning, cardiovascular risk prediction, primary care, family medicine, electronic health records, clinical decision support, precision medicine, cardiovascular disease, risk assessment, and predictive modeling.

Eligible publications included clinical practice guidelines, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, prospective and retrospective cohort studies, observational studies, diagnostic prediction studies, and implementation research involving adult populations. Studies were included if they evaluated AI- or machine learning-based approaches for cardiovascular risk prediction, patient stratification, or clinical decision support in outpatient or primary healthcare settings. Studies limited exclusively to inpatient intensive care, pediatric populations, or highly specialized cardiovascular procedures without direct relevance to primary care were excluded.

The selected literature was critically synthesized according to predefined thematic domains, including conventional cardiovascular risk prediction models, machine learning algorithms, deep learning approaches, electronic health record–based prediction systems, explainable artificial intelligence, multimodal data integration, clinical decision support systems, implementation challenges, and ethical and regulatory considerations. Particular attention was given to methodological quality, external validation, model interpretability, clinical applicability, and the feasibility of integrating AI-driven decision-support systems into routine primary healthcare practice.

Although this review followed a structured and transparent literature search strategy, the evidence was synthesized narratively because of the considerable heterogeneity in study design, AI methodologies, validation approaches, outcome definitions, and reporting standards across the included publications.

Results

Overall, the reviewed evidence demonstrates that artificial intelligence has the potential to substantially improve cardiovascular risk assessment by enhancing predictive accuracy, supporting earlier identification of high-risk individuals, and facilitating more personalized preventive strategies. Machine learning models consistently outperform conventional statistical risk scores when trained on large, diverse datasets that integrate demographic, clinical, laboratory, imaging, and lifestyle variables. These technologies also enable continuous risk estimation through longitudinal analysis of electronic health records, thereby supporting dynamic clinical decision-making rather than relying on static baseline assessments.

Nevertheless, the translation of these promising findings into routine primary care remains limited. Most published models have been developed and validated using retrospective datasets, while prospective multicenter validation and real-world implementation studies remain relatively scarce. In addition, differences in data quality, patient populations, model architecture, outcome definitions, and reporting standards contribute to considerable heterogeneity across studies and restrict direct comparison of model performance. Concerns regarding algorithm transparency, external validity, fairness across different demographic groups, data privacy, and integration with existing electronic health record systems continue to represent significant barriers to widespread clinical adoption.

Taken together, the available evidence suggests that artificial intelligence should be regarded as a complementary decision-support technology rather than a replacement for established clinical risk assessment. Its greatest value lies in augmenting clinician judgment by providing more accurate and individualized cardiovascular risk prediction, enabling earlier preventive interventions, and supporting evidence-based decision-making within primary care. Future research should focus on prospective validation, standardized reporting frameworks, explainable AI methodologies, and implementation studies to facilitate the safe and effective integration of these technologies into routine clinical practice.

Discussion

Despite the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence technologies, their successful integration into primary care will depend on much more than improvements in predictive performance alone. Sustainable implementation requires robust external validation, transparent and explainable algorithms, standardized reporting frameworks, interoperability with existing electronic health record systems, and regulatory oversight that ensures patient safety, data privacy, and algorithmic fairness. Equally important is the development of clinician-centered AI solutions that integrate seamlessly into routine workflows without increasing cognitive or administrative burden.

Overall, the current evidence suggests that artificial intelligence has the potential to fundamentally transform cardiovascular risk assessment in primary care by enabling earlier identification of high-risk individuals and supporting more personalized preventive strategies. However, realizing this potential will require close collaboration among clinicians, data scientists, healthcare administrators, software developers, and policymakers to ensure that AI systems are clinically reliable, ethically responsible, and practically applicable. Only through such multidisciplinary efforts can artificial intelligence evolve from an experimental technology into a trusted component of evidence-based cardiovascular prevention.

Conclusion

Artificial intelligence is rapidly transforming cardiovascular risk assessment by enabling more accurate, individualized, and data-driven prediction of cardiovascular events than conventional statistical risk models. The evidence reviewed in this study indicates that machine learning and deep learning algorithms can improve risk stratification through the integration of heterogeneous clinical, demographic, laboratory, imaging, and behavioral data, thereby supporting earlier identification of high-risk individuals and facilitating more personalized preventive interventions in primary care.

Despite these promising advances, several important challenges continue to limit the widespread clinical adoption of AI-based decision-support systems. Limited external validation, heterogeneity in model development and reporting, insufficient interpretability of complex algorithms, concerns regarding algorithmic bias, data privacy, interoperability with electronic health record systems, and regulatory uncertainty remain significant barriers to implementation. Consequently, artificial intelligence should currently be regarded as a complementary clinical decision-support tool that augments physician expertise rather than replacing established evidence-based risk assessment and clinical judgment.

To realize the full potential of artificial intelligence in primary care, future efforts should prioritize multicenter prospective clinical studies, standardized validation and reporting frameworks, explainable AI methodologies, and comprehensive implementation research. Equally important are clinician education, patient engagement, regulatory governance, and the development of interoperable digital health infrastructures that facilitate seamless integration into routine healthcare workflows.

Ultimately, the successful incorporation of artificial intelligence into cardiovascular risk assessment will depend on close collaboration among clinicians, researchers, engineers, healthcare administrators, and policymakers. Such multidisciplinary cooperation has the potential to improve early cardiovascular disease prevention, optimize clinical decision-making, enhance healthcare efficiency, and contribute to more equitable and personalized cardiovascular care worldwide.

References

1. D.-I. Kasartzian and T. Tsiampalis, “Transforming Cardiovascular Risk Prediction: A Review of Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence Innovations,” Life, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 94–94, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.3390/life15010094.
2. C. Provost et al., “Artificial Intelligence (AI) Models for Cardiovascular Disease Risk Prediction in Primary and Ambulatory Care: A Scoping Review,” medRxiv, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.1101/2025.03.21.25324379.
3. C. Ogbuefi, “Artificial Intelligence-Driven Integrated Risk Assessment of Cardiovascular Disease (AIRA-CVD): A Technical Framework Incorporating Inflammatory Biomarker Signatures and Histopathological Vascular Remodeling,” Cureus, vol. 18, no. 4, Apr. 2026, doi: 10.7759/cureus.106615.
4. E. al. A. Shelke, “Role of Artificial Intelligence in Cardiovascular Risk Prediction and Prevention,” International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 472–478, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.17762/ijritcc.v11i8.9437.
5. Y. Cai et al., “Artificial intelligence in the risk prediction models of cardiovascular disease and development of an independent validation screening tool: a systematic review,” BMC Medicine, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 56–56, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.1186/s12916-024-03273-7.
6. C. R. Dănciulescu, M. S. Ciolofan, C. Ivanescu, and D. O. Alexandru, “Leveraging artificial intelligence for cardiovascular risk: a primary care perspective,” Romanian

Journal of Morphology and Embryology, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 563–569, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.47162/rjme.66.3.13.

7. G. Quer and E. J. Topol, "The potential for large language models to transform cardiovascular medicine," The Lancet Digital Health, vol. 6, no. 10, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.1016/s2589-7500(24)00151-1.

8. A. D. Alves et al., "O Cuidado Cardiovascular 4.0: Prevenção Preditiva na Atenção Primária à Saúde através de Modelos de Aprendizado de Máquina," Brazilian Journal of Implantology and Health Sciences, vol. 7, no. 12, pp. 998–1024, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.36557/2674-8169.2025v7n12p998-1024.

9. S. Weng, J. Reps, J. Kai, J. M. Garibaldi, and N. Qureshi, "Can machine-learning improve cardiovascular risk prediction using routine clinical data?," PLoS ONE, vol. 12, no. 4, Apr. 2017, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0174944.

10. R. Parizad, J. Hatwal, A. Brar, R. Desai, A. Batta, and B. Mohan, "Artificial Intelligence for Cardiovascular Risk Prediction: An Umbrella Review of Applications and Translational Challenges," Vascular Health and Risk Management, pp. 1–19, Mar. 2026, doi: 10.2147/vhrm.s590502.

11. F. Shishehbori and Z. Awan, "Enhancing Cardiovascular Disease Risk Prediction with Machine Learning Models," Jan. 29, 2024, Cornell University. doi: 10.48550/arxiv.2401.17328.

12. C. H. P. Mairink, "Predição de risco cardiovascular na estratégia saúde da família: Uma revisão de algoritmos de machine learning," Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research), Feb. 2026, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.18840024.

13. B. Ssimbwa, A. Wasike, and J. Ssebadduka, "Machine Learning-Driven Cardiovascular Risk Assessment: Synthesizing Contemporary Methods, Barriers, and Emerging Horizons," International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology (IJISRT), pp. 2641–2641, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.38124/ijisrt/25nov1523.

14. A. Tiwari et al., "The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Cardiovascular Disease Risk Prediction: An Updated Review on Current Understanding and Future Research," Current Cardiology Reviews, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 112–122, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.2174/011573403x351048250329170744.

15. T. Liu, A. J. Krentz, L. Lü, and V. Ćurčin, "Machine learning based prediction models for cardiovascular disease risk using electronic health records data: systematic review and meta-analysis," European Heart Journal - Digital Health, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 7–22, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.1093/ehjdh/ztae080.

16. C. H. P. Mairink, "Predição de risco cardiovascular na estratégia saúde da família: Uma revisão de algoritmos de machine learning," Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research), Feb. 2026, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.18840023.

17. Y. Wang et al., “Machine learning in cardiovascular risk assessment: Towards a precision medicine approach,” European Journal of Clinical Investigation, vol. 55, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.1111/eci.70017.

18. W. Liu et al., “Machine-learning versus traditional approaches for atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk prognostication in primary prevention cohorts: a systematic review and meta-analysis,” European Heart Journal - Quality of Care and Clinical Outcomes, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 310–322, Mar. 2023, doi: 10.1093/ehjqcco/qcad017.

19. M. Tsai, K. Chen, and P. Chen, “Harnessing Electronic Health Records and Artificial Intelligence for Enhanced Cardiovascular Risk Prediction: A Comprehensive Review,” Journal of the American Heart Association, vol. 14, no. 6, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.1161/jaha.124.036946.

20. T. Liu, A. J. Krentz, Z. Huo, and V. Ćurčin, “Opportunities and Challenges of Cardiovascular Disease Risk Prediction for Primary Prevention Using Machine Learning and Electronic Health Records: A Systematic Review,” Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 37443–37443, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.31083/rcm37443.